

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/604,654 08/07/2003 | | 08/07/2003 | Neil Clarke | 124613MG | 1653 | |
| 24382 | 7590 | 12/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| JOSEPH S | S. HEINC | , ESQ. | MAI, ANH T | | | |
| DAVIS & | KUELTHA | AU, S.C. | | | | |
| 111 E. KIL | BOURN | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SUITE 140 | 10 | | 2832 | | | |
| MILWAUI | KEE, WI | 53202-6613 | DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | N/C |
|-----------------|---------------|-----|
| 10/604,654 | CLARKE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Anh T. Mai | 2832 | |

| <u></u> | Examine | Attonic | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anh T. Mai | 2832 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | - | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered b | ecause | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co | | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • • | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | (DTOL 224) | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment | (P10L-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | | timely filed amendme | ant canceling the | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | lowable ii submitted iii a separate, | unely filed afficient | sin canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,17,19,24,25</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected. <u>1,3,77,13,24,23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | | |
| 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (P1O/SB/08 or P1O-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | | | |
| | And | rma | | | | | | |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief PRIMARY EXAMINE Bart of Paper No. 112805

Continuation of 3. NOTE: "MRI device having magnet, gradient coils, means to cool patient bore enclosure" in claims 1, 5, 17, 19, 24, have raised issues which require further search and consideration.